

# Contents

Introduction	7
<b>Part I: The Role of Legal Argumentation in Constitutional Courts</b>	
<i>Giovanni Damele</i> Naturalistic Argument and Appeal to Common Sense in Constitutional Argumentation	13
<i>Rachel Herdy</i> Appeals to Expert Opinion in High Courts	23
<i>Fernando Leal</i> “Less is More”: Against Argumentative Saturation in Legal Decision-Making	47
<i>Thomas Bustamante</i> Abusive <i>Obiter Dicta</i> : A Typology of Illegitimate Judicial Pronouncements	57
<i>Chiara Valentini</i> Rights, Proportionality and Inclusive Adjudication	83
<i>Alberto Puppo</i> Local Priority in Constitutional Argumentation: Threat or Healthy Contribution to International Law?	101
<b>Part II: The Use of Human Dignity within Judicial Argumentation</b>	
<i>Miguel Nogueira de Brito</i> Is there Any Absolute Concept of Dignity?	129
<i>Pedro Moniz Lopes</i> Interpretative and Normative Ambivalences of Human Dignity: Rights, Dignity and Morality in <i>Fleming V Ireland</i>	143

<i>Jorge Silva Sampaio</i> Human Dignity's Contestedness, Analytical Reconstruction of the Prohibition of Instrumentalization and a Reassessment of the Aviation Security Act Case	173
<i>Mariana Melo Egídio</i> The Argument from Human Dignity – Legal Paternalism and Restriction on Fundamental Rights	213
Editors and Contributors	237